

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT		TE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,798	07/10/2003		Yu-Ri Song	6192.0301.US	1921
75	90	10/20/2004		EXAMINER	
McGuire Woo	ds LLP		FARAHANI, DANA		
Tyson Corner Suite 1800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1750 Tysons Bo	oulevard		2814		
McLean, VA 22102-4215				DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del> </del>	<del></del>	<del></del>						
		tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		798	SONG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
	Dana F		2814					
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If the period for reply specified above is less than the  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the s um statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a nths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be tim tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s	) filed on <u>03 August 20</u>	<u>04</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is	non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is.	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi</li> </ol>	aw (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang et al., hereinafter Hwang (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0007108, Application No. 10/183,683) in view of Yoshitake et al., hereinafter Yoshitake (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0195609A1, Application No. 10/178,714), and further in view of Ikeda et al., hereinafter Ikeda (US Patent 6,554,407), all previously cited.

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Hwang discloses in figure 24, a thin film transistor array panel comprising: a substrate; a gate line 45 formed on the substrate; a plurality of storage conductors 66 and 67 formed on the substrate; a gate insulating layer 47 formed on the gate line and the storage conductor; a semiconductor layer 49 formed on the gate insulating layer; a data conductor layer 53 formed on the semiconductor layer; a passivation layer 69 formed on the data conductor; and a pixel electrode 71 of figure 25 formed on the passivation layer.

Hwang does not disclose each storage conductor includes a plurality of branches, wherein at most one of the branches of each storage conductor has an isolated end.

Yoshitake discloses in figure 3 an electrode 23 of an LED with a plurality of branches, but does not disclose at most one of the branches of the electrode has an isolated end. Ikeda discloses in figure 10(b), an electrode 19, which has a discontinuity portion according to the

Art Unit: 2814

defective layers portions beneath it. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the physical appearance of the storage electrode of the Hwang reference in order to affect the characteristics of the induced particle movements by the pixel electrode, and consequently the image generated by the LCD, in which the pixel electrode is used, since the pixel electrode effectiveness depends on the shape and area of the storage electrode.

Regarding claim 4, see Yoshitake, figure 3, wherein it is shown that the electrode has two longitudinal branches and two oblique branches, 23c, and the branches form a closed loop.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, see Yoshitake, figure 3, wherein it is shown that the electrode has two longitudinal branches, 23b, and three/four oblique branches, 23c, and the branches form closed loops.

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang, Yoshitake and Ikeda, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yamakita et al., hereinafter Yamakita (US Patent 6,600,540), previously cited.

Hwang in view of Yoshitake and Ikeda, renders obvious the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the pixel electrode having cutout portions.

Yamakita discloses in figure 17, the pixel electrode 6 has cutout regions. Yamakita also discloses that when there is two portions of the same electrode have different electric fields, the liquid crystal molecules around the lack portion become the transition nucleus and transition of the alignment state of the liquid crystal layer reliably takes place (see column 3, lines 49-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make cutout regions in the pixel electrode of the device of the Hwang reference in

view of Yoshitake and Ikeda, in order to improve the transition of the alignment state of the liquid crystal layer, as Yamakita teaches.

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The primary reason for indication of allowability of claims 2 and 3 is the inclusion therein of the limitation that of the longitude portions of the storage electrodes are connected together by connecting portions.

### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicants' arguments filed 8/3/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Regarding applicants arguments that Ikeda reference has a plurality of electrodes 19, but 6. not one of these electrodes has a plurality of branches as claims, the Yoshitake reference is relied upon to render obvious this limitation. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,798 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

7. In response to applicant's argument that Ikeda is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the Ikeda reference is used to point out a configuration of an electrode. Even though, the reference as a whole is not directed to a thin film transistor, it can reasonably be used to utilize the particular electrode in devices such as transistors.

8. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5.

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). As discussed above, the motivation to modify the electrode configuration of the primary reference is generally known in the art, as discussed in the above rejections.

### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2814

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (571)272-1706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M Fahmy can be reached on (571)272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Farahani

DOUGLAS WILLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER